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Dear TMUA Members:

Tulsa’s Annual Water Quality Report-2013 states that you “encourage our customers to participate in the decisions that affect the quality of our drinking water.” Please consider me to be a participating customer, as I am writing to express my objection to the continued addition of arsenic laced fluorosilic acid to Tulsa’s drinking water supply for a source of fluoride ions.

As indicated in the Water Quality Report-2013, one source of the fluoride is a “discharge from fertilizer and aluminum factories.” It is well acknowledged that fluorosilic acid is a hazardous waste that would otherwise not be allowed to be discharged into the environment. I inquired at the published phone number and received an example Certificate of Analysis from Tulsa’s Water and Sewer Department, for a shipment to one of the treatment plants. It documents that the fluorosilic acid added to Tulsa’s drinking water is contaminated with arsenic at a level of 52 parts per million (ppm). The EPA’s MCLG for arsenic is zero, meaning there are known and expected health risks at any level above zero, so any dilution factor that might be cited to argue in favor of fluoridation is suspect at best; the TMUA is authorizing its addition to the public drinking
water to be ingested unknowingly and unacknowledged to all citizens who drink it, and that is my drinking water that I pay for at my home and at my dentistry office.

I fully realize, as you must also, that public water fluoridation has been controversial since it began, but I am also quite knowledgeable of the fact that some long time covered up studies as well as recent studies document with a considerable amount of evidence that fluoridation additives increase the risk of numerous adverse health effects, and more so for growing and developing children and people who drink lots of water, i.e., uncontrolled higher doses per pound of body weight. As has been well documented, fluoride ingestion actually has the net negative, cumulative effects of adversely altering the crystalline structure of teeth and bones, increased bone fractures, and can contribute to osteosarcoma in boys, in addition to the cancer causing alteration and disruption of other human biological systems and organ functions – brain, thyroid, pineal gland, to mention a few.

I pray that TMUA Members will re-evaluate the wrong decision that was implemented back in 1953 and choose to immediately cease contaminating my drinking water with this toxic waste from Florida’s fertilizer manufacturing industry. We should not be a dumping ground for their toxic chemical waste. We citizens are paying for their corporate greed and risking our health in the process.

In support of you making the right decision, and taking the right action as I am requesting, if not demanding, I am providing some additional information that I have gleaned during my professional dentistry career, and that I suspect will be new to you.

Prior to the middle 1940’s the American Dental Association and the US Public Health Service published many studies that told the scientifically truthful and accurate facts regarding the adverse health effects of fluoride and public water fluoridation. I have several boxes of those original studies that were published in the Journal of the American Dental Association and the U.S. Public Health Reports and I would like to share a summary of two of the ADA publications. If you want the full publications of what I give you here, or if you would like to see additional ADA or USPHS studies, please just let me know.

* * * * * * * *

One study was published in the Journal of the American Dental Association, Vol. 31, October 01, 1944, and summarized in an Editorial, stating that “Sodium fluoride is a highly toxic substance…” and that “We do know that the use of drinking water containing as little as 1.2 to 3.0 parts per million will cause such developmental disturbances in bones as osteosclerosis, spondylosis and osteopetrosis, as well as goiter…” This ADA Editorial ends by stating that “…in light of our present knowledge or lack of knowledge of the chemistry of the subject, the potentialities for harm far outweigh those for good.”

* * * * * * * *
Another study, *Fluorine In Relation To Bone And Tooth Development*, published in the April 1936, *Journal of the American Dental Association*, Volume 23, page 568, states “…that fluoride is the toxic chemical that is damaging the teeth...” and, that “Evidence supports the idea that fluorine plays no important biological role” and that “Studies have shown that one part and possibly 0.8 part of fluorine per million will produce definite signs of enamel dystrophy in children born and reared in endemic area. A comparison of toxicity data suggests that fluorine, lead and arsenic belong to the same group, as far as ability to cause some symptoms of toxicity in minute dosage is concerned.”

* * * * * * * *

God has not changed fluoride or human physiology since this 1936 ADA publication and the above quote is still just as accurate today as it was in 1936. All that has changed since then is that the trusted officials at the US Public Health Service and the American Dental Association sold their souls to the corporate aluminum and fertilizer industry, then duped the practicing dentist, and with the blessing of those corporate entities began to drip the very toxic and arsenic contaminated fluoridating chemicals into our drinking water under the falsehood that fluoride would “prevent tooth decay” and was now somehow miraculously “safe, beneficial and of value.”

Current science has continued to document that this industrial waste being dripped into our drinking water, among other things, causes dental fluorosis, which is the first visible symptom of chronic fluoride poisoning, as well as contributes to brain toxicity, a lowered IQ, contributes to cardiac diseases, thyroid problems, cancers and birth defects. A couple of years ago, in a research lab supported heavily by the American Dental Association, it was documented that water fluoridation caused autism like symptoms in animal studies. The ADA put that study in a closet.

Being very much aware that the fluoridation of the public drinking water is very controversial, I also know that when this issue is raised with publicity about public utility officials considering whether or not to fluoridate, the pro fluoride dentists and the “authorities” frequently come in through the utilities’ backdoor like a swarm of locust. Those “authorities” usually represent the PEW foundation, Delta Dental Plan, and “fluoride expert” dentists. Please consider my background on the issue.

Understand that at one time I endorsed public water fluoridation until about 30 years ago when I woke up to this fluoride fraud. When I studied a significant amount of scientific information that had been intentionally omitted from my formal dental education, I realized that water fluoridation was based only on studies that were not even close to scientific and were just scripted and sculpted to make it appear that fluoride “reduced tooth decay and was safe and would not cause any body harm.”

So when the locust swarm comes thru the TMUA backdoor, they will tell you that numerous organizations like the CDC, ADA, WHO, and others, all endorse water
fluoridation; that “fluoridation has been acknowledged as one of the ten greatest public health accomplishments of the 20th century,” and that “every dollar spent on fluoridation saves $38 in public dental expense”, and they will tell each of you TMUA Members, as well as the public, to ask your dentist for advice, as the dentists are “fluoride experts.” One thing missing for these pro fluoride locusts is truthful scientific evidence to support their recommendations, so they resort to their scripted mantras that were given to them by the ADA and the US Public Health Service and the Center for Disease Control’s Division of Oral Health.

All the studies the USPHS and the ADA originally used to begin the fluoridation of the public drinking water are fraudulent. In the tested cities the water sources were not consistent, they did not follow the same children from year to year and there was absolutely no consideration for nutrition or oral hygiene care. This is also true for tooth decay studies done today conducted and paid for to misrepresent the truth and deceive the public. These “studies” ALL had multiple variables, most of which were not taken into consideration. By definition, a “scientific” study does not have multiple variables, especially variables not taken into consideration.

So, before all those “experts” swarm down on all of you I want to get my two cents in and I want to address this “expert” claim that dentists label themselves as being.

I graduated from the University of Missouri-Kansas City School of Dentistry in 1972. I am a licensed and practicing dentist here in Tulsa. To be licensed in Oklahoma and other states a dentist has to graduate from a dental school that is accredited by the American Dental Association (ADA). For a dental school to be accredited by the ADA that school has to meet the very strict curriculum requirements of the ADA. Therefore, all the accredited dental schools are carbon copies of each other. So it doesn’t matter which ADA accredited dental school one attends, they are all the same except maybe for the color of the wallpaper. With that in mind, there is no such thing as a scientifically accurate Water Fluoridation 101 course in any dental school in this country, and there never has been. When I was in dental school, during one of our preventative dentistry courses, a very few moments were devoted to telling us students that “fluoridated drinking water reduced and prevented tooth decay and it was safe, beneficial and of value and caused no body harm.” One of my classmates said something about hearing that fluoride was a toxic chemical and should not be added to the drinking water. We were subsequently instructed very explicitly that it is the dentists who are the “experts” and that the public did not know anything about fluoride and that fluoride was safe and that anyone who disagreed was unscientific, a fear monger and should be denigrated. That was the total amount of “facts” we students were taught about water fluoridation. Many dentists unfortunately hold that this very brief instruction they were given in dental school one afternoon makes them fluoride “experts.” Should any one of them approach you with that pretense, I suggest you ask them what their qualifications are, and to show you on their dental school course transcript where their fluoride coursework is documented. Ask them to show you on their Oklahoma Dental Board list of continuing education credits where they have taken any continuing education courses on water fluoridation since their graduation from dental school. They won’t be able to provide any
such documentation as no courses exist … and never have. The public has been misled into believing that dentists are fluoride “experts” when in fact that is clearly not the case.

Knowing the smidgen of fluoride “education” I (we) received in dental school I know that dentists are only pseudo-fluoride experts. For the last 30 years I have studied the historical facts surrounding the ADA’s and the US Public Health Service’s (USPHS) decision to endorse and promote the fluoridation of the public drinking water. I have boxes full of ADA and USPHS publications that were truthful about the toxic effects of water fluoridation and were published in the 1920’s and 30’s prior to the ADA and the USPHS doing an about face in 1940’s and 50’s when they were strong armed by the fertilizer and aluminum industries to approve their toxic waste chemicals to be disposed of into the drinking water under the guise of preventing tooth decay. (The US administrator over the USPHS at the time, Oscar Ewing, was a former lead attorney for ALCOA when ALCOA was facing numerous lawsuits for poisoning workers, farmers, crops and livestock with toxic fluoride emissions from nearby manufacturing facilities. The Dept. of Agriculture recognized the problem, and aluminum and fertilizer manufacturing plants had to install water spray scrubbers to capture the waste fluoride, which resulted in all of this toxic liquid waste to dispose of, but without a viable market unless they could convince the public to accept waste fluoride in the water supply, “to prevent tooth decay.” The campaign and controversy continue, but the public is increasingly learning these inconvenient truths.)

Neither arsenic nor fluoride is nutritional; neither one is an essential nutrient. Humans do not have an arsenic or fluoride deficiency. Tooth decay does NOT result from lack of fluoride, but from poor nutrition and oral hygiene, and lack of proper dental care.

I could write many more pages of information here but instead I would suggest that you go the web site of Dr. Paul Connett, PhD, www.FluorideAlert.org or to my educational web site www.DentalConfessions.com and you will find a more detailed explanation of this fluoride fraud and the duping of the dentists and the public.

I would be willing to come to any one of your meetings to discuss this or answer any questions you might have. I know that when the subject of arsenic and water fluoridation comes up there tends to be a thought like “not this again” and the tendency of just falling asleep. I pray the TMUA Board will seriously consider what I wrote here and visit the two web sites I mentioned, and that you are willing to take a close look at this most serious matter, for the sake of safer drinking water and the public health here in Tulsa.

Sincerely,

Jim Maxey DDS